BEFORE THE COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE STATE OF NEVADA

2

1

5

6

7

8


9

In the Matter of the

HONORABLE STEVEN E. JONES District Judge, Family Court Division, City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada,

Respondent.

RESPONDENT warrant the imposition of discipline.



CASE NO's: 9803-218 AND 9805-218

10

ORDER

COMMISSION), Fred D. Gibson, II, Esq. appeared as Special Prosecutor for the State of Nevada

Commission on Judicial Discipline, and William B. Terry, Esq. appeared as attorney for the

RESPONDENT. After hearing the allegations and proofs of the parties, and having considered

the evidence introduced by both parties and the briefs submitted by both parties, and being fully

advised, the COMMISSION states that the proceeding was a Formal Hearing pursuant to the

JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE for the purpose of determining whether the acts and conduct of the

Administrative and Procedural Rules applicable to the NEVADA COMMISSION ON

NEVADA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE (hereinafter referred to as the

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on December 16, 1998, before the

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

2324

25

2627

28

The COMMISSION met telephonically to consider this matter. Six Commissioners were present for this meeting. The Commission unanimously found that the factual allegations of both complaints were established by clear and convincing evidence. Four Commissioners concluded that the evidence warranted a finding of violations of Canons 2, 2(A) and 3(E) of the Nevada Code of Judicial Conduct. Two Commissioners concluded that, as a matter of law, discipline in this case was precluded by the decisions of the Nevada Supreme Court in the cases of In Re: Petition to Recall Dunleavy 104 Nevada, 784, 769 P.2.d 1271 (1998); Ainsworth v. Combined Ins. Co., 105 Nev. 237, 774 P.2d 1003 (1989); and O'Brien v.

1	State Bar of Nevada, 114 Nev. 71, 952 P.2d 952 (1998). Rule 3(4) of the Administrative and
2	Procedural rules for the Nevada Commission on Judicial Discipline requires five or more
3	members of the COMMISSION to concur in order to impose discipline. Accordingly,
4	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the charges against the RESPONDENT set forth
5	in each of the above-captioned formal complaints be and the same are hereby dismissed.
6	DATED this 30 th day of December, 1998.
7	
8	NEVADA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL DISCIPLINE P. O. Box 48
10	Carson City, NV 89702
11	BY: Front Breeza
12	BY:
13	FRANK BRUSA, Chairman
14	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
15	A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE
16	I hereby certify that on the <u>5</u> day of <u>January</u> , 1999 I placed this
17	ORDER in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, addressed to the undersigned.
18	William B. Terry, Esq. Fred Gibson, Esq. 530 South Seventh Street 2300 W. Sahara, Suite 740 Las Vegas, NV 89101 Las Vegas, NV 98102
19	Las Vegas, NV 89101 Las Vegas, NV 98102 Attorney for Respondent Special Prosecutor
20	
21	Michael Diat
22	Michelle Wright, Commission Clerk
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	